1 2	CAROL LYNN THOMPSON (SBN 148079) SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP 555 California Street	KATHRYN G. SPELMAN (SBN 154512) DANIEL H. FINGERMAN (SBN 229683) MOUNT & STOELKER
3	San Francisco, CA 94104	RiverPark Tower, Suite 1650
4	TELEPHONE: (415) 772-1200 FACSIMILE: (415) 772-7400	333 West San Carlos Street San Jose, CA 95110-2740
	clthompson@sidley.com	TELEPHONE: (408) 279-7000
5	Attorneys for Defendant	FACSIMILE: (408) 998-1473 kspelman@mount.com
6	KIMBERLY CLARK CORPORATION	dfingerman@mount.com
7		Attorneys for Plaintiff
8		SAN FRANCISCO TECHNOLOGY INC.
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
11	SAN JOSI	E DIVISION
12	SAN FRANCISCO TECHNOLOGY, INC.,	Case No. 10-cv-02994 JF HRL
13	Plaintiff,	
14	v.)	
15	AERO PRODUCTS INTERNATIONAL, INC.,	
16	BP LUBRICANTS USA INC., BRH BRANDS,) INC., CALICO BRANDS, INC., COOPER	TO SEVER
17	LIGHTING, LLC, DAREX LLC, DEXAS INTERNATIONAL LTD., DYNA-GRO	
18	NUTRITION SOLUTIONS, FISKARS BRANDS, INC., GLOBAL CONCEPTS, INC.,	
	HOMAX PRODUCTS, INC., KIMBERLY-	
19	CLARK CORPORATION, KRACO ENTERPRISES LLC, LIXIT CORPORATION,)	
20	MEAD WESTVACO CORPORATION, NUTRITION 21 INC., OATEY CO.,	
21	OPTIMUM TECHNOLOGIES, INC., NEWELL RUBBERMAID INC., SCHICK	
22	MANUFACTURING, INC., THE SCOTTS	
23	COMPANY LLC, STERLING INTERNATIONAL, INC., VITAMIN POWER	
24	INCORORATED, WOODSTREAM CORPORATION, 4-D DESIGN, INC.,	
25	Defendants.	
26		
27		
28		
ı	1	

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO SEVER: Case No. 10-cv-02994 JF HRL

1	Plaintiff San Francisco Technology, Inc. and Defendant Kimberly Clark Corporation		
2	("Kimberly Clark"), by and through their undersigned counsel, hereby stipulate and agree that		
3	defendant Kimberly Clark shall be severed from the case. This stipulation is made in light of the		
4	Court's ruling in San Francisco Technologies, Inc. v. Glad Products, Co., 2010 Lexis 83681 (N.D.		
5	Cal. 2010), where the Court determined that severance was appropriate in similar circumstances.		
6	Subject to the Court's approval, the parties request that the clerk open a new case number in <i>San</i>		
7	Francisco Technologies v. Kimberly Clark Corporation.		
8	IT IS SO AGREED AND STIPULATED.		
9	Dated: September 17, 2010	SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP	
10			
11		By: /s/ Carol Lynn Thompson	
12		Carol Lynn Thompson Attorneys for Defendant	
13		Kimberly-Clark Corporation	
14	Dated: September 17, 2010	MOUNT & STOELKER, P.C.	
15			
16		By: /s/ Dan Fingerman	
17		Dan Fingerman Attorneys for Plaintiff	
18		San Francisco Technology, Inc.	
19			
20	In accordance with General Order No. 45, Rule X, the below signatory attests that concurrence in the		
21	filing of this document has been obtained from each signatory above.		
22	Dated: September 17, 2010	SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP	
23			
24		By: /s/ Carol Lynn Thompson	
25		Carol Lynn Thompson Attorneys for Defendant	
26		Kimberly-Clark Corporation	
27			
28			
		2	

1	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION AND GOOD CAUSE APPEARING THEREFORE, IT IS
2	SO ORDERED.
3	
4	Dated: 9/27/10 By:
5	Dated: By: The Honorable Jeremy D. Fogel
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	7